

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.                                | FILING DATE    | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.     | CONFIRMATION NO.              |  |
|--|----------------|----------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------------|--|
| 10/074,847                                     | 02/13/2002     | Fausto Armonti       | Provvisionato case 3A   | 8011                          |  |
| 75   | 590 07/03/2003 |                      |                         |                               |  |
| Flynn, Thiel, Boutell & Tanis, P.C.            |                |                      | EXAMINER                |                               |  |
| 2026 Rambling Road<br>Kalamazoo, MI 49008-1699 |                |                      | CHANNAVAJJALA,          | CHANNAVAJJALA, LAKSHMI SARADA |  |
|  |                |                      | ART UNIT                | PAPER NUMBER                  |  |
|  |                |                      | 1615                    | ···                           |  |
|  |                |                      | DATE MAILED: 07/03/2003 | <b>S</b>                      |  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| Advisory Action    10/074,847   | er<br>in |  |  |  |  |
|---|----------|--|--|--|--|
| Examiner  Lakshmi S Channavajjala Th MAILING DATE of this communication appears on th cov r sheet with th correspondenc address  THE REPLY FILED 16 June 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.  PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]  a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.   | er<br>in |  |  |  |  |
| Th MAILING DATE of this communication appears on th cov r sheet with th correspondenc address  THE REPLY FILED 16 June 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.  PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]  a)  The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.  | er<br>in |  |  |  |  |
| THE REPLY FILED 16 June 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.  PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]  a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.  | er<br>in |  |  |  |  |
| Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.  PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]  a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.   | er<br>in |  |  |  |  |
| a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.  | er<br>in |  |  |  |  |
|   | er<br>in |  |  |  |  |
| a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.  ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any examed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). |          |  |  |  |  |
| 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.  |          |  |  |  |  |
| 2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:   |          |  |  |  |  |
| <ul><li>(a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);</li></ul>  |          |  |  |  |  |
| (b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);  |          |  |  |  |  |
| (c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying t issues for appeal; and/or  | the      |  |  |  |  |
| <ul><li>(d)  they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.</li><li>NOTE:</li></ul>  |          |  |  |  |  |
| 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):   |          |  |  |  |  |
| 4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).   |          |  |  |  |  |
| 5.⊠ The a)☐ affidavit, b)☐ exhibit, or c)☒ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .   |          |  |  |  |  |
| 6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.  |          |  |  |  |  |
| 7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.  |          |  |  |  |  |
| The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:  |          |  |  |  |  |
| Claim(s) allowed:   |          |  |  |  |  |
| Claim(s) objected to:   |          |  |  |  |  |
| Claim(s) rejected: 20-27.   |          |  |  |  |  |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:  |          |  |  |  |  |
| 8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.   |          |  |  |  |  |
| 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)   |          |  |  |  |  |
| 10. Other:  |          |  |  |  |  |
|   |          |  |  |  |  |
|   |          |  |  |  |  |
| S. Palent and Trademark Office  |          |  |  |  |  |

1

Darada Lakshmi Channavajjala Patent Examiner ASI- Uni + 1615



Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: instant claims are directed to a composition and the limitation "for replenishing electrolytes by passive transpiration/perspiration" does not carry patentable weight. Applicants argue that none of the cited references disclose the need for replenishing electrolytes for the claimed condition ad instead only teach for dehydratio caused by exercise, trauma etc. In support of the their arguments applicants provided a study performed and commissioned by applicants. However, the study compares thermal stress versus physical stress. Examiner notes that while none of the claims recite thermal stress nor indirectly relate the passive transpiration of thermal stress, Stray-Gundersen teaches the composition for physical exercise as well as heat or illness. Thus, the cited art does recognize one condition (heat-same as thermal stress of the supplied document) that results in passive transpiration. Therefore, the rejection has been maintained.

2